



Neighbourhood Forum
Tuesday, 10th January 2017 @ 17.30pm
Trust Administration Room 6
Christie Trust HQ

Present:	Membership: Cllr Andrew Simcock – AS (Chair) Joanne Fitzpatrick – JF (The Christie) Jason Dawson – JD (The Christie) Cllr Chris Paul – CP Cllr David Ellison Cllr John Leech - JL	Residents: Doug Edwards - DE Stuart Bracewell – SFB Majorie McSweeney – MM Michelle Ashworth - MA Roger Smith – RS Gavin White – GW Jim Machin – JM Madeleine Rose – MR Colleen Scott – CS Peter Smith – PS Peter Walch – PW
-----------------	--	--

In attendance	Will Blair – WB, Adrian Burt – AB, Bernard Flanagan - BF, Rosie Gill - RG, Chris Noble – CN, Maggie Doyle – MD (The Christie), Dave Roscoe – DR, Rob Dillon – RD, Diane Murphy – DM (MCC) Jonathan Walton - JW (Turley), Peter Rowe (Turley), Sheldon Walsh
Minutes:	Will Blair – WB (The Christie)

Apologies:	Cllr Andrew Fender Cllr Susannah Reeves Cllr Rebecca Moore Cllr Josie Teubler Cllr James Wilson – JW Cllr Chris Willis – CW Cllr Kelly Simcock Cllr Garry Bridges	Tony Whitehead - AW Andy Wilson – AW James Bromfield – JB Marie Dunn – MD Siobhan Brennen – SB Andrew Yates – AY Mark Valentine – MV (MCRC) Mark Rainey – MR Krishan Humar – KM Helen Petreu - HP Renee Lavoriyyi – RL Martin Wood – MW (The Christie)
-------------------	--	---

1. Chair's welcome

The Chair welcomed members to the meeting.

Action

2. Apologies for absence

Apologies were noted.

3. DRAFT Minutes of last meeting

The minutes were approved with amendments as a correct record.

Actions from the minutes:

Construction noise - MA played an audio recording the sound of construction that she informed the forum was recorded prior to 08:00 in the morning. M informed the group this has been a long term issue, although there has been some improvement after the meeting with JD. MA felt this was a helpful meeting as it had allowed the opportunity to demonstrate the issue and discuss idea. JD apologised for the noise and confirmed policing was taking p[lace

to ensure that excessive noise was not taking place prior to 08:30. AS sort clarification on how much longer the noise for these works would be expected to last. JD confirmed until at least Summer 2017.

Neighbourhood News – SFB informed the forum that Ballbrook conservation area was included in the mailing list for issue 8 (Dec-17). MD advised the forum that a new distributed was now in place so that future deliveries can be checked with GPS. JD offered to bring a set to future neighbourhood forum meetings.

MD

Travel Plan – A discussion on changing the title of the Green travel plan took place. WB gave summary of a discussion that had taken place at the last travel plan review with MCC where it was suggested that the title be changed to travel plan as it incorporates park and ride which MCC suggested some people feel is not completely green. After discussion it was agreed to keep that title as Green Travel Plan to make it clear that the purpose of the plan is to support a move to sustainable travel, in addition park and ride does address local congestion. WB to feedback to MCC at the next monitoring meeting.

WB

SFB requested an update on the park and ride. RG provided an overview of the current situation since the introduction of buses every 15 minutes during peak times. Currently there were circa 50-60 staff using the facility. SJM advised the forum that he had been to the site earlier and there were only 39 cars and somewhere not displaying a Christie sticker.

4. Section 106 update

AS welcomed DM back to the forum for an update on enforcement in the S106 zone.

DM feedback that on enforcement confirming that there had been 7,772 visits and 3,130 tickets issued. This does not include Palatine Road figures. Circa 15% have been overturned on appeal. A discussion on how the monies are used took place. It was confirmed that they are ring-fenced and initially used to fund the scheme and secondly any leftover money is used to maintain highways.

MR asked for confirmation if any had been issued on Oak Road. DM confirmed 431 tickets had been issued on Oak Road.

RS asked if there were signs that the number of parking infringements was beginning to reduce. DM confirmed that it has been tailing off over the last few months.

A discussion on reviewing the current scheme and possible amendments possible amendments took place.

RS suggested it would be beneficial for residents to meet with MCC if tweaks are being proposed. CP informed the forum that he had taken a walk around the area and there is availability. CS added that when the scheme was developed the 3 hour limit was added so that patients could park, but this does not appear to be happening. BF believed there was potential to use the parking scheme streets better to address local parking issues. JL queried if it could be done under the same order or will cost hinder it.

It was agreed that reviewing the scheme and potential implication caused by any changes needed to be reviewed further.

5. Community engagement

An introduction to the project team that will be delivering the consultation programme was provided.

An overview of the engagement framework was provided through a presentation. The engagement exercise would be an opportunity to start with a blank sheet of paper on how the potential car parks could be designed.

<http://www.christie.nhs.uk/media/5190/nf-presentation.pdf>

The floor was opened up to feedback and questions.

AS expressed the view that this was a better start than the previous application for a multi-story car park.

MM queried how the proposed HS2 ventilation on the former Golden Lion site would impact the tiered parking proposal. MM was also concerned that the proposal to build a tiered car park on the site was to put off complainants and there was no possibility of a car park being built. JD reassured MM that they have been informed of the HS2 development and a meeting had been arranged with MCC to discuss. Furthermore that this engagement exercise costs money and therefore is not an attempt to mislead residents.

RS queried if the proposed car parks were for staff, patient or both.

JD confirmed that patient/staff split will worked through as part of the development process.

JL queried if the applications would but staggered or submitted together as this has potential to impact on the proposed expansion of the parking zone to address displacement.

JD confirmed that the application would be submitted together and any proposed expansion of the parking scheme would need to be part of these applications.

CS asked how the additional parking was to be justified and if this justification has been obtained in line with the green travel plan. PR provided reassurance that justification will be a core part of the engagement. PW added that the proposal will be reviewed with the green travel plan and issues regarding street parking.

AS requested to view the working group membership slide from the presentation. A discussion took place on whether it included all groups. RD requested that the neighbourhood manager be added to the list of participants. SFM expressed the view that we needed to ensure the workgroup was balanced and no group was overrepresented.

MR reminded the group of the discussion from previous meetings regarding using local businesses.

PR

AS asked for clarification on how the group will be chosen. PR informed members that a letter will be sent out within a week to the forum and one for other local stake holders. PR requested a list of interested stakeholders be provided within one week and also any feedback available at this stage.

CS felt that after the first meeting of the group it should go out to public consultation on the outcome of the meeting.

AS asked DR for his view on what he had heard tonight and his thoughts on how this might go forward.

DR felt that the more engagement takes place in planning applications the more likely they are to come up with a solution. This application will clearly be challenging, but if everything he has heard from the consultation team is correct then he has every confidence that this application will progress is a positive way.

6. AOB

None

7. Next meeting

11th April 2017 @ 17:30 – 19:00