



**Neighbourhood Forum**  
**Wednesday, 19<sup>th</sup> February 2014 @ 17.30pm**  
**Trust Administration Room 6**  
**Christie Trust HQ**

**DRAFT MINUTES**

<b>Present:</b>	<b>Membership:</b> Cllr Andrew Simcock – AS (Chair) Roger Spencer – RGS (The Christie) Jason Dawson – JD (The Christie) Cllr Mark Clayton - MC Cllr Daniel Gillard - DG Cllr Chris Paul - CP	<b>Residents:</b> Don Berry - DB Doug Edwards - DE Madeleine Rose - MR Colleen Scott - CS Marie Kelly – MK
-----------------	--	---

<b>In attendance</b>	Bob Higginbotham - BH, Will Blair – WB, Maggie Doyle – MD, Tim Barber- TB (The Christie) Dave Roscoe (Manchester City Council)
<b>Minutes:</b>	Will Blair – WB (The Christie)

<b>Apologies:</b>	Joanne Fitzpatrick – JF (The Christie) Cllr Bridie Adams Cllr Dave Ellison Cllr Andrew Fender Cllr Carl Ollerhead Cllr Susannah Reeves Cllr Jeff Smith - JS Cllr Andrew Taylor Cllr Simon Wheale	Tony Whitehead - AW Roger Hall - RH Karen Bullen – KB Chris Noble – CN (The Christie) Mark Valentine – MV (MCRC) Warren Roper – WR James Bromfield – JB Andy Wilson – AW
-------------------	--	---

**1. Chair's welcome**

**Action**

The Chair welcomed all members to the meeting and those who had not attended previously.

**2. Apologies for absence**

Apologies were noted.

**3. DRAFT Minutes of last meetings held – 12<sup>th</sup> Nov 2013 & 7<sup>th</sup> January 2014**

The minutes from 12<sup>th</sup> November 2013 were approved as a correct record.

CS informed all that a letter had been received from Alex Tighe (The Christie) regarding lighting procedures and that it had been fed back to residents. CS informed the forum that feedback received indicated that everything appeared fine but requested that residents are notified of any planned changes to lighting procedures.

The minutes from 7<sup>th</sup> January 2014 were approved as a correct record.

No matters arising from 7<sup>th</sup> January meeting.

#### **4. Section 106 Parking Consultation**

AS informed all that the scheme had received a positive response with only some minor adjustments requested. The hope is that the scheme can now go out to legal consultation with the possibility of implementation in Spring 2014.

MR summarised some of the alterations that had been requested including removal of pay and display proposed on Oak Road and Circular Road. This will now be kept as is –which MR informed the forum is a 3 hour restriction zone on weekdays. Also an extension to residents parking only on some roads off Everett Road due to lack of driveways. MR informed all that residents in these areas where alterations have been made will be consulted.

DE asked if it could be noted that disabled parking spaces should be increased due to concerns with the parking situation on Cotton Lane next to Withington Green. AS agreed that this matter should be looked into and will make sure that it is raised with WR.

**AS**

#### **5. Maggies**

TB provided an update on the Maggies centre and informed the forum that the planning application was summated last week.

DR informed all that the application had been validated today and will go online in next few days for a 21 day consultation period. Residents will be notified of the application consultation period.

CS raised the issue of parking and it's labelling as parking 'neutral'. CS asked if the centre will be for Christie patients only or will it be open to patients from elsewhere as it will be the only Maggies centre in the north west.

TB informed the forum that the idea of the centre on site was to provide a service to Christie patients who would already be attending site, but would ask the question to Maggies regarding patients from elsewhere.

**TB**

#### **6. Framework**

A discussion regarding the Strategic Planning framework took place.

Concerns where raised by MR, DE & CP that the consultation documents did not make it clear the scale of the planned developments. CP and DE highlighted the framework summary postcards that had been sent around to residents within the area as an example of this. CS questioned the presentation of the framework and expressed concerns that it was not necessary clear on what people where viewing for the consultation process.

A discussion on how the how the executive report would be written took place.

DR explained that the report will summarise the feedback received from the consultation. DB questioned the use of two titles for the document – 'strategic planning framework' and 'development planning framework'. DR confirmed that these both mean the same.

MR asked if the report would be public before it went to the executive. AS clarified that the officer's report would be published a week before it went to the City Council Executive Committee meeting. . This is a public meeting which anyone can attend.

DE informed the forum that he had a couple of questions with regard to parking demands and the framework. BH agreed to look at these questions and asked DE

**BH**

to forward them onto him. DE agreed.

In relation to car park management DR informed the forum that The Christie has made proposals within the framework to address this matter – therefore the Council will require them to submit these plans formally as an addendum to the framework. This would include the mechanics and timeframe for implementing these actions. This will need feeding back to the executive as a revised view to car parking concerns.

DR explained the proposed executive meeting for the frameworks was April – but is subject to change depending on the feedback to points raised during the consultation which will involve discussion with the Christie and possible modifications to the document. The report to the executive will then summarise all the concerns raised and how these have been addressed.

CS asked for reassurance that The Christie would need to stick to the promises it makes with regard to addressing problems in the framework. DR informed the forum that should The Christie not deliver any of the actions planned to address concerns within the framework it would weaken future planning applications.

DB asked if there will be the opportunity to comment on any of the changes made to the report. CP explained that these changes will likely be ones suggested by residents and elected representatives - an example of the removal of a large scale multi-story car park was given. CP did clarify that it is not expected that all decked car parking options would be removed – just that they had to be within reason. CP felt large scale should not be included and consideration should be shown on the east side of the site which is a residential area.

Taking DB's point into consideration AS suggested the next meeting of the forum takes place in April and questioned if something would be available for comment at the next meeting prior to it going into the executive report.

RS informed the forum that although the Trust is happy to provide the best update possible. It would however be wrong to promise the forum that a detailed set of plans and timeframes can be supplied as they will need to go through the Trust internal processes.

RS

AS discussed the Council of Governors and suggested there was a feeling that the situation was being taken more seriously as they began to understand the scale of the matter.

RS discussed how the council of governors, the forum and residents are now engaged in the process in a way that has not been present in the past.

AS pointed out that the minutes from this forum go to the council of governors.

## **7. Next step**

AS suggested it would be difficult to produce a collective agreement on how to respond to the consultation, therefore should let individuals on the forum respond.

After a discussion it was agreed that everyone would respond to the consultation as an individual.

## **8. AOB**

MR raised the issue of the MCRC newsletter which the Christie is now contributing

to and how it appears to suggest that the Neighborhood forum is only concerned with the Green travel plan. MD will look into clarify the matter in the next article. **MD**

CS asked if the TOR can be on the agenda at the next meeting. AS agreed and reminded all that the current ones are on the website. **AS**

AS raised concerns about the Golden Lion security and staff parking on there which is a breach of the planning contract. BH to discuss security of the site with MCRC. **BH**