



Neighbourhood Forum
Tuesday, 8th July 2014 @ 17.30pm
Trust Administration Room 6
Christie Trust HQ

Present:	Membership: Cllr Andrew Simcock – AS (Chair) Joanne Fitzpatrick – JF (The Christie) Jason Dawson – JD (The Christie) Cllr Chris Paul - CP	Residents: James Bromfield – JB Don Berry – DB Tom Cannon - TC Doug Edwards - DE Madeleine Rose - MR Colleen Scott - CS
-----------------	--	--

In attendance	Bob Higginbotham - BH, Will Blair – WB, Chris Noble - CN, Tim Barber- TB , Maggie Doyle – MD, (The Christie) Dave Roscoe – DR, Warren Roper – WR , Andy Wilson – AW (Manchester City Council)
Minutes:	Will Blair – WB (The Christie)

Apologies:	Roger Spencer – RGS Cllr Bridie Adams Cllr Dave Ellison Cllr Andrew Fender Cllr Carl Ollerhead Cllr Susannah Reeves Cllr Andrew Taylor Cllr Rebecca Moore Cllr - Daniel Gillard	Cllr Jeff Smith – JS Cllr James Wilson – JW Cllr Josie Teubler - JT Tony Whitehead - AW Mark Valentine – MV (MCRC)
-------------------	---	--

- 1. Chair's welcome** **Action**
The Chair welcomed all members to the meeting.
- 2. Apologies for absence**
Apologies were noted.
- 3. DRAFT Minutes of last meeting**
The minutes from 3rd June 2014 were approved with amendments as a correct record.
- 4. Matters arising**
Maggie Centre - TB indicated that the target date for work to start on the Maggie centre is November 2014.

DB expressed his previously voiced concerns about patients having to cross Wilmslow road to access the Maggie centre. After a brief discussion AS agreed to raise the issue with Highways and feed back to BH and the forum. It must still be noted that the existing crossing is provided for the school children. **AS**

PBT - TB informed the forum that the aim for the submission of the PBT planning application is the end of this week. AS raised a query from Roger Smith regarding the turn out at the drop in centre. Roger Smith was unsure if all the houses that

should have been notified of the session had received a letter of notification. TB ran through the selection process for the leaflet drop, that had been agreed with the council – circa 1360 leaflet drops. The leaflet drop was carried out by a professional company and a random check followed the drop. This involved randomly selecting drop points and confirming they received the leaflet – 0.75% where checked (industry standard is 0.5%). All individuals tested signed to say they received the leaflet. DR informed the forum that the application will also be publicised in the local press and notices in the local area. DR reassured the forum that even if someone has not received a leaflet they are still free to make a comment on the application.

CS suggested that as part of the PBT development The Christie should seek to add facilities for cyclists to support the green travel plan. BH confirmed that we have secured funding for the refurbishment of current cycle facilities and the installation of new shower block. Furthermore BH confirmed that part of the trust business case process is to look at the sustainable travel element. JF explain how the funding that has been made available for shower facilities is for the immediate future while going forward the PBT application will provide additional facilities.

5. Update on S106 parking scheme consultation

WR provided an update on the S106 parking consultation. The boundary issues have been resolved and additional streets incorporated. The intention is to get the delegated report signed off for the end of July-14. 21 day legal notice would follow this in August-14. Assuming there are no objections then implementation could be September-14 or early October-14. Any objections will have to be considered and may cause delay in implementation. WR explain that realistically a scheme of this size will likely get some negative feedback and that the council will first try to resolve any issues. If issues cannot be resolved the Chief officer and Executive will make a decision on the objection. Operation of the scheme without objections could be by the end of the year, however if there are any objections it could be delayed until early 2015.

WR indicated that they are legally obligated to consider any objections that were put forward. The information on support for the scheme is already there through consultation so there is no need for people to resubmit support during the notice period.

6. Strategic Planning Framework (SPF) update

AS notified the forum that the SPF had been submitted to the City Council Executive Committee and was approved with amendments.

DB requested an update on some points that were quoted in the Manchester Evening News, coming from Strategic Development Director, Eddie Smith.

1. *Confirmation from the Christie on how big the car park would be.* DR informed the forum that this action is with him.
2. *The framework is tied to the green travel plan if the Christie fails to meet its obligation they will not secure planning permission in the future.* DB asked for confirmation on how this would be assured. DR informed the forum that the travel plan is now more robust with firm actions, targets and funding behind it. Monitoring will take place on a quarterly basis and DR does not see why it cannot be a transparent process. Furthermore JD said that the Trusts own annual review of the green travel plan can be shared with the forum to ensure transparency. CN informed the forum that the annual review process for modal shift is already in place. However going forward the Trust can supplement the report with further information from the updated plan. DR indicated that the relationship between the council and The Christie for monitoring the travel is now more formal with real target dates and confirmed that the travel plan progress would be reviewed with timescales and

milestones.

DE asked for confirmation on the dates set for the review and the feedback process. JD confirmed that quarterly reviews will take place on set dates as agreed with MCC. The annual review will be a written report covering overall progress and will be published. DR added that when any planning application is submitted confirmation on the progress of the green travel plan would be required.

CN & BH confirmed that modal shift figures will be obtained through an annual survey around November time.

CS agreed with BH point that some actions were outside of the Trust control, but suggested that annual targets could still be set and if one of the external actions was delayed or did not occur, this would be mitigation for not hitting a target.

A discussion on the frequency of the travel and street parking survey took place. DE suggested that the survey be carried out quarterly so that up to date information is always available as planning applications are submitted. JF felt that a quarterly report would lead to over surveying and possible a poor response rate. JD added that a quarterly report would not really indicate much as the frequency would be too high. BH & CN added that with the S106 coming into effect the street parking survey would no longer be required.

AS closed off by requesting that the progress that is discussed at the quarterly reports is fed back to the forum. Action for CN/BH.

CN/BH

AS asked that the bench mark for the travel plan takes into account the questions and anomalies highlighted by DE. JF agreed that the Trust will look into DE question and then put the travel plan onto the internet.

TB/BH

7. Surface car park planning application (Behind blocks A,B & C)

CP indicated that he had received feedback from residents indicating a few concerns. The first being the moving of the entrance/exit to further along cotton lane between the two schools. Secondly the loss of trees due to the additional parking spaces and thirdly the number of proposed spaces being greater than what will be lost through the Maggie Centre development. TB referred to a letter sent by BH that confirmed that these spaces take into account a number of other changes to car park provision due to capital developments on site. CP asked for confirmation if this is therefore an increase in parking, BH responded that these spaces are intended to offset what will be lost to developments.

DB asked for clarification if the planning application is a condition of the Maggie centre. DR explained that it is a requirement to replace parking spaces lost due to the development, however a meeting will be held with The Christie to review both the number proposed in the application and how the car park will be accessed. In addition clarification on the impact on trees will be sought.

DB acknowledged that in the past applications such as the Maggie centre and PBT had been brought to the forum before the planning applications were submitted, but expressed disappointment that this application hadn't. DB felt that the forum had been side-lined and informed members that residents had approached him to discuss an application that he was unaware had been submitted. It was indicated that all resident representatives on the forum shared this view.

BH confirmed that the SPF that was submitted to members of the forum prior to the last meeting included the proposed A,B,C & D block parking spaces as part of the transport strategy. BH added that as part of the travel plan presentations it had been informally discussed about maximising the footprint on site to maintain car

parking including decking solutions. DE acknowledged that maximising parking on site through redesign of the surface car parking had been raised at the forum but not specific details such as tree loss.

CS requested confirmation on the deadline for comments from residents on the planning application. DR felt it was highly likely that another round of communication would take place with residents and that they would have 21 days to respond.

AS asked for confirmation if the planning application was going in front of the planning committee. DR informed the forum that if there are objections to the application that need considered, then the submission would go in front of the planning committee. If submission does need to go to the committee it would likely be the 11th September meeting. It is a public meeting and will take place at 14:00. A representative will be allowed to summaries any objection.

DB asked if rather than tarmacking the surface the Christie could look at using green surfacing. JD & BH indicated that they will look into the matter as an option for the application.

BH

JD closed off by reminding the forum that the ultimate aim for parking management is a park and ride solution. JD reassured the forum that work had already commenced on developing a park and ride scheme. The scheme is in the early stages of development but as soon as the Trust has details this will be feed back to the forum.

8. Terms of reference (TOR)

CS distributed a draft amendment of the TOR.

CS suggested amendment was that the forum would ensure any development benefits the neighbourhood. CN asked forum confirmation if the term 'neighbourhood' included the local shops. CS confirmed she meant the general neighbourhood and did not express any objection to the shops being included.

No objections were raised to the amendment and so AS requested that the amended TOR be uploaded onto the website.

WB

9. AOB

CS brought a question from Roger Smith regarding the incorporation of the Schriell rock garden into the design of the MCRC. Roger Smith had fed back to CS that this had been positively received and so could an update be given. There was a discussion as to who was dealing with this action, BH agreed to look into this with the MCRC.

BH

DB asked for confirmation on what was happening with Withington green as boarding had gone up and then been taken down. TB confirmed that the contract that was given to the University has gone back to the negotiation stage with Manchester City council as the University did not agree with all of the terms and conditions.

10. Next meeting 28th October 2014 (17:30)